

Don't Let Them Mind-Nap

(Continued from Page 2, Column 3)
now being deliberately brought up to a boil. But, when it is recalled that this is the way our elected representatives in Washington have consistently fooled us all during the past 26 years, they deserve eviction from their plush jobs of public trust without further ado and, preferably, with the gentle assistance of shoes with spike-studded toes.

In shooting down this issue to enhance his public image during 1972, President Nixon pulled his punches. He announced that the bill was unacceptable to his Administration because, if passed into law, it would be marked by "fiscal irresponsibility, administrative unworkability, and family weakening implications." He also said that it would "create a new army of bureaucrats and would relegate the authority of states to an insignificant job," and that "to plunge headlong financially into supporting child development would commit the vast moral authority of the national government to the side of communal approaches to child rearing against the family-centered approach." He further made it crystal clear that "we owed our children more than good intentions," and that "neither the immediate need nor the desirability of a national child development program of this character had been demonstrated."

President Nixon's words read well on the surface, but they would have read much better had he told the American people the unvarnished truth about this despicable piece of proposed legislation.

No sooner did President Nixon reject S. 2007, than up popped its loud-mouthed supporters. Rep. Carl D. Perkins (D-Ky.), Chairman of the House Education and Labor Committee, called the presidential veto "a stab in the back to our rural people;" Rep. John Brademas (D-Ind.), the architect of the House version of this mind-napping plan, said that "he (Nixon) seems more interested in appeasing his radical right-wing critics than he does in making good on his commitments to America's children;" Sen. Jacob Javits (R-N.Y.) called the veto "especially disappointing" and vowed that, if the veto is not over-ridden, he will introduce a modified version of the program. Before this outburst, 20 Democratic and 4 Republican senators urged President Nixon to sign S. 2007 into law. The four Republicans, (Javits, Brooke, Stafford, and Case), along with their 20 like-brethren on the other side of the political aisle, should be booted out of Congress by an aroused citizenry and electorate.

Right on cue like puppets on a string, the various pressure groups around the country erupted into a howling jag just as soon as the news about the presidential veto hit the fan. Labor and Welfare packs, along with the National Education Association and the National Organization for Women, publicly came out in favor of S. 2007 and hysterically denounced the veto of it with juicy rhetoric not fit for the sensitive ears of innocent children. On the other hand, the silence of concerned parents was positively deafening.

Yes, President Nixon vetoed this crummy bill. It made him look good in print, at any rate. But the truth of the matter is that, far from this "Comprehensive Child Development Program" being dead and buried, the notoriously corrupt Office of Economic Opportunity will continue to receive billions of dollars from above and beneath the public trough to enable it to continue brainwashing our innocent children — presidential veto or no presidential veto!

The Truth About S. 2007

According to Rep. Thomas Pelly (R-Wash.), S. 2007 was NOT designed to take care of the children of so-called "working mothers," but to corral the children of 32% of all American families regardless of financial status. That this was the secret intent of the framers and advocates of this piece of legislation, was publicly confirmed by several Svengalis eager to experiment with the minds of our children. For example, Dr. Reginald S. Lourie, President of the Joint Committee on the Mental Health of Children, confirmed that this bill was

The Great Christian Silent Majority Speaks



Not content with taking the flower of our youth to be mercilessly slaughtered in no-win wars and die in graves such as these, now the **MARXIST ESTABLISHMENT** is determined to snatch the child at infancy, destroy family life and make him a Marxist slave all the days of his life. Thank heaven we are not in Soviet Russia . . . !

drafted to provide him and his colleagues with a huge, experimental laboratory where, at the taxpayer's expense, they could tinker with the impressionable minds of our children to their heart's content. Among other incredible things, this shrinker of heads said:

"The other important information we should keep in mind is that in the first 18 months of life, the brain is growing faster than it ever will again. It is then also more plastic and most available for appropriate experience and corrective intervention."

Dr. Urie Bonfenbrenner, a colleague of Dr. Reginald S. Lourie and another Svengalian supporter of S. 2007, was more blunt about it. Among other things sounding as if the words were drafted in the Kremlin, he said:

"Communal forms of upbringing have an unquestionable superiority over all others. When these contracted families (those to be caught in the trap—ED.) recognize that it is not sensible to expend so much work on maintaining an independent household for just two people, the family as an economic unit, having fused with other families and become incorporated into a large economic collective, will dissolve within the context of the future social commune."

Thus Dr. Bonfenbrenner revealed that the scientific-academic community in this country is working towards the creation of an "economic collective" and a "social commune" way of life for ALL Americans. That he, as well as Dr. Lourie, endorsed S. 2007, just goes to prove what the bill is all about.

Not to be left out in the cold of pinkoland, Elliot Richardson, the erstwhile Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, one of President Nixon's "whiz kids" and a prolific spender of the taxpayer's money, put the seal of governmental approval on S. 2007, despite his boss' veto, by claiming that it was "a great opportunity to invest in the development of the next generation."

Judging by the power-house behind S. 2007, both in government and outside of it, it would be silly to believe that the issue is dead and buried and that it will not be pushed into law by some means or other. The record of past performances on all issues affecting the lives of the American people, and of the future generation of Americans, clearly proves that, if necessary, the wolves in sheep's clothing down in Washington can and will find ways and means to get into law what they wish, even if they have to hide it from the electorate under ambiguous rhetoric.

The question now is: how far will the American people permit this lunacy to go?

What Is to Be Done

It is one thing to expose hi-jinx in high places in American government, institutions and society. But, when the chips are down, it is up to the American people to demonstrate responsible citizenship by doing something corrective and constructive about it. So far, and during the past 26 years especially, the vast majority of the American people have done nothing and could not care less about what is happening to our country, to themselves, and even to their own children.

Since our very survival is at stake, this failure to act on the part of such a large majority of our citizenry is no longer tolerable. If this inaction persists in the face of such crises as we now face, we will simply cease to exist as a nation and disappear into the dust-bin of history.

In this particular case of inhuman injustice, COMMON SENSE calls upon ALL its loyal readers and supporters to order extra copies of this issue for IMMEDIATE distribution in their locales among concerned parents of pre-public school-aged children, parents of public school-aged children, parents of high school and college students, and among ALL concerned Americans.

We've often stated in the past our skeptical attitude toward voting and our firm conviction of the utter futility of seeking any ultimate solution in legislative action alone. Sophisticates know we do not have two political parties, BUT ONE POLITICAL PARTY WITH TWO NAMES. There is only one side: THE INSIDE. Nevertheless, hope springs eternal. We still trust that some day the electorate will rise up as one, not only to successfully oppose a certain piece of legislation, but to tear away the fabric of the present political structure, and break the back of Invisible Government control in this country, which alone prevents us having bona fide political action.

We also request ALL readers of this issue to write, wire, or phone their respective Congressional representatives protesting any further consideration of legislation designed to enable subversive elements in our society to experiment with the minds of our innocent children. Each Congressman and Senator must be told in no uncertain terms that, if he refuses to cease and desist forthwith, he is going to be evicted from public office at the end of his tenure and by means of the ballot.

The time to get involved is ----- NOW!